



*The*

# AMERICAN

A MONTHLY PUBLICATION OF THE AMERICAN CAUSE

## *A Letter from the President*

Dear Friends,

Last week we kicked off our 2012 College Tour with a rancorous appearance at Colorado College, a small but highly-ranked liberal bastion located in the center of Colorado Springs.



I was invited to talk about the failures of feminism, one of my favorite topics, and the women's study

crowd was out in force.

In a packed room, I spoke for about 40 minutes, followed by a hostile two-hour question and answer period. It was crazy. They jeered when I told them abortion was the single greatest act of pure selfishness that I could imagine; hissed when I declared the aborted 55 million innocent unborn victims of feminism, and booed in chorus when I explained that gay couple requests to adopt should be rejected by the state because it was not in the best interest of the child. When the noise died down, I defended my position by explaining that studies prove overwhelmingly that children thrive best in a home with a mom and a dad. The place erupted, screaming that no study ever suggested anything of the kind.

I ended that exchange explaining that kids do best in a home with

a mom and a dad; that is an indisputable fact that they could ignore, dispute, or deny ... but it doesn't change

the fact that it is true. Then I moved on to another significant failure of their cause.

The Feminist Movement gave us the "hook-up" culture—a demeaning and emotionally devastating world for women. It gave men, on the other hand, everything they could ever want—sex with no involvement, no commitment, and no guilt.

I thought surely these young women could see that the hook up is an ideal arrangement for men, who hook up with a young woman on Friday night and with her roommate on Saturday. All is fair in the sick world of hook-ups. While intimacy brings with it some level of emotional attachment for most women, guys feel none of this and are off looking for other conquests before the sun sets the following day. When this occurs over and over women begin to feel used, resentment builds and they lose all trust in men.

How can this awful development be viewed as good for women! Instead of dinner, movies and falling in love, feminism gave us hook-ups. Some deal!

But my audience would have none of it. Cheers went up as one guy agreed that men are cads, but, he asked, what made me think women

don't like sleeping around just as much as the men.

A gal asked me what makes women more emotional. Nature, I responded, we are wired that way. She argued that it was not inside of us—it was what culture has taught us to be. And that it is time we changed that. I think her argument was that being more compassionate as a female was keeping women down. (How foolish the young.) She took great offense when I said women are not the same as men—we are nurturers and natural caregivers. Men are not. She would have none of it—and the crowd roared her on. (I mentioned women had the babies, but that got me nowhere with this crowd.)

The debate moved to rape; date rape, more specifically—that name needs to be changed to "hook-up rape" ... Dating never led to an epidemic of rape. Anyway, another gal was incensed that women were being told to be careful about the way they dress, how much they drink, and what parties they go to. "Why do women have to change?" she yelled. "Why can't I dress as I want, drink what I want, go where I want—why are we not telling men not to rape?"

Rape is a crime punishable by hard time, I responded—how much stronger can it get? Then I explained that women need to be smart, to avoid becoming the victim of this crime as well, and if that means not letting yourself get so

*Letter continued on page 3*

drunk someone can take advantage of you, then don't over drink.

"You're encouraging the rapists," she yelled. "Instead of telling men to stop raping, you are blaming women for the rape. You are on the side of rapists!"

On the side of the rapists? I laughed out loud. It was a bizarre but a hugely entertaining evening.

How do they come up with these crazy ideas, I thought ... in the classroom? If so, their parents need to demand a refund. But when you consider what our President had to say a week earlier you can't blame everything on the professors.

As I watched the coverage of this year's March for Life I recalled the many times I marched with my boys, the hundreds of times I have given a voice to the unborn on college campuses, and the pro-life candidates I worked so hard to elect. But the killing goes on.

Sure, thanks to the efforts of millions of pro-lifers across this country the numbers are down—from the all-time high of 1.6 million abortions a year in the nineties to 1.2 million today. Many states have made life tougher and tougher for abortionists and we have won hearts. Today 60% of Americans believe abortion should be illegal in most cases; 51% believe it is morally wrong.

So how is it we can't save more of these precious little ones?

I found an answer in the words of President Obama. On the day of the March for Life, he spoke of a women's "fundamental constitutional right" to abortion and then added: "And as we remember this historic anniversary, we must also continue our efforts to ensure that our daughters have the same rights, freedoms, and opportunities as our sons to fulfill their dreams."

It is chilling to read those words.

There was a time when we celebrated women who put their children first in their lives. They sacrificed everything for their children. We

called them "moms" and expected this kind of commitment from them. That was back when our country was great.

Now we have a President who actually celebrates the opportunity for women to pursue their personal ambitions or desires at the expense of their children's lives. He is excited that women no longer have to let something like a kid get in the way of a better life.

In the eyes of our President, having a child is equal to aborting one. There is no discussion of taking responsibility for your actions—men don't have to take responsibility ... neither should women be asked to. Let the child die rather than demand accountability.

The leader of the free world is celebrating the darkest, most selfish act possible—the opportunity for women to do the unthinkable—to end their child's life so they can be more like men—and walk away from the responsibility for their own actions.

When our President places so little value on the lives of our most innocent, how can we expect our young people to be any different? When selfish personal pursuits are valued more than the life itself, what kind of world can we expect?

It doesn't get more perverse than this. What dreams, I ask, are more important to a woman than the life of her child? What could she possibly do that would be more rewarding, more fulfilling, and ultimately bring her more joy than giving her child a good and healthy life? And if she wasn't in a position to do that ... what greater gift could she give than to see that her child was placed in such a home.

These simple facts, which they ignore, dispute, or deny, don't change the truth that lies within them.

We have to try harder, do more, and stretch ourselves further. Too many of our most precious are dying because so many do not care.

—Bay Buchanan

# Clips and Quips

## Bad “Karma”

ABC reports another troubled green energy loan to the growing heap that includes Solyndra, Ener-1 and Beacon Power... Fisker Automotive, who received half a billion in federal loans, to supposedly “spur” the electric car industry forward. The Energy Dept shut them down when they failed to produce.

Fisker had used funds from the loan to create the Karma (built in Finland). The vehicle was priced at \$100,000 per vehicle, with 225 Karmas in the hands of dealers, and a thousand in the pipeline. A less expensive car, the Nina, had been planned for the now-defunct Delaware plant.

Even with a White House handing out billions, it’s tough being green.

## Whatever happened to the pledge: “No more earmarks!”

The Washington Post conducted a study that shows 33 Congressmen earmarked over \$300 million for projects within 2 miles of their own property.

Checking the “pet projects” since 2008, the Post found numerous instances of self-enrichment, including Rep. Bennie Thompson (D-MS): obtained a \$900,000 earmark to resurface roads where he and his daughter own two homes; Rep. Roscoe G. Bartlett (R-MD): secured approximately \$4.5 million for an interstate interchange that leads to Rep. Bartlett’s home, his 104-acre farm, and rental properties that earn him \$150,000 annually; Rep. Ruben Hinojosa (D-TX): bagged \$665,000 in taxpayer funds to expand a road 600 feet away from his family’s food processing plant, H&H Foods; Rep. John W. Olver (D-MA): obtained \$5.1 million in earmarks to restructure a road 209 feet from Rep. Olver’s 15-acre home and several adjoining properties he and his wife own.

In 2010, a record high 11,230 earmarks accounted for \$32 billion in federal spending.

## Student loans now outpace credit cards

Student loans taken out last year crossed the \$100 billion mark for the first time and total loans outstanding will exceed \$1 trillion for the first time this year. Americans now owe more on student loans than on credit cards, reports the Federal Reserve Bank of New York, the U.S. Dept of Education, et. al. “Federal student loans are like no other loans,” says Alisa Cunningham, research chief at the Institute for Higher Education Policy. “The consequences are so high for making a mistake.” Nick Pardini, a Villanova University graduate student in finance, has warned that student loans may be the next credit bubble.

Whatever happened to the idea of teaching our children to save and stay out of debt?

## “Fairness” in the White House?

While the President keeps telling us how the wealthy need to pay their “fair share” of taxes, Investors Business Daily reports that, according to the IRS, 36 of President

Obama’s executive office staff owe almost \$834,000 in back taxes!

That’s over \$23,000 each!

Apparently the White House is only good at spending tax money, not paying “their share.”

**Government exists to protect us from each other. Where government has gone beyond its limits is in deciding to protect us from ourselves.**

—Ronald Reagan

## Late Night Funnies:

*“One of the most talked about commercials was the one with Clint Eastwood, where he said, ‘It’s halftime in America, and our second half is about to begin.’ The bad news? China has the ball and we’re down \$15 trillion.”*

—Jay Leno

*“President Obama spoke at the national prayer breakfast. The president said that his Christian faith is the driving force behind his economic policies. So I guess instead of blaming Bush, it’s now all Jesus’ fault.”*

—Jay Leno

*Obama said that he says a brief prayer every morning, but then Joe Biden shows up anyway. So I don’t know if it would really work.”*

—Jay Leno

*“The House of Representatives has passed a bill that prohibits people from using welfare money in strip clubs or liquor stores. I agree ... Strip clubs and liquor stores should be off limits for people who get government funds — you know, like congressmen.”*

—Jay Leno

*“Mitt is the guy you think you look like. Newt is the guy you actually look like.”*

—David Letterman

*“A woman in Illinois is auctioning off a 2005 Chrysler that once belonged to President Obama. You could tell it was Obama’s car because it gets off to a fast start and then stalls for the next three years.”*

—Jimmy Fallon

*“Mitt Romney is going to release 2010 and 2011 tax returns. Not to be outdone, Newt Gingrich is going to release his 1988, 1994, and 2005 wedding vows.”*

—Conan O’Brien

*“President Obama was in Disney World today where he unveiled his new plan to create jobs. He was joined by Mickey Mouse and Minnie Mouse but not Goofy. He had to stay behind to tend to his vice presidential duties.”*

—Jay Leno