



The

AMERICAN

A MONTHLY PUBLICATION OF THE AMERICAN CAUSE

A Letter from Bay



Dear Friends,

I don't know what's more alarming: A President who exercises unlimited power over the private sector or that his actions are met with so little resistance. Even more astounding—if that be possible—is that there is no evidence of success, or even improvement to the economy as a result of his interference and no one seems to care, least of all Barack Obama. He just marches forward undaunted.

After the feds shoveled out over \$25 billion in taxpayer bailouts to Chrysler and GM, the companies are either in bankruptcy or headed there. And the Obamacrats are overseeing every aspect of the proceedings—from firing the CEO to approving the next line of vehicles. (Little unsafe clown cars—the kind Americans don't want).

The Administration forced the auto companies to shut down thousands of dealerships against their will, stealing franchises out from under their legal owners and turning them over to com-

petitors. The legitimate owners received no compensation and without the franchise are unable to sell their inventory at market price. They face bankruptcy and the thousands who worked for them are out on the streets.

As Obama and his henchmen move forward in their efforts to remake America in their socialist image, it is clear they have no intention of allowing laws or people stand in their way.

Consider his treatment of the secured creditors of Chrysler. When they refused to accept the administration's proposal of 28% of the \$7 billion owed them, Obama publicly rebuked them. But they couldn't accept the deal. They had a fiduciary responsibility to their investors to get a better deal—they had a legally guaranteed right to get their debt paid before the UAW did. And the Administration had offered the UAW 43 cents on the dollar and 55% ownership. Of course, the union folks are friends of Obama and that trumps law any day with this crowd.

Now GM is marching off into bankruptcy and guess who will be majority owner when they come out of it? The federal government! With 69% ownership! The same guys who after four months are still unable to fully staff the Department of Treasury are now in charge of running an auto company! What

are the chances of this working well?

They take over the banks—they nationalize the auto industry—next on the agenda: health care--the evasive golden ring of the Left. It's the biggest power play of all. Today health care represents nearly a fifth of our economy, with 68% in the private sector and 32% in government programs such as Medicare, Medicaid and Veterans Affairs. This takeover is on the top of Obama's

Continued on page 3

Don't Forget!

**The American Cause
2009 Conference**

**June 20, 2009
Ritz-Carlton Hotel
1700 Tysons Blvd.
McLean, VA 22102**

**Call 703-255-2632
or visit
TheAmericanCause.org**

Clips and Quips



Chairman:
Patrick J. Buchanan

President:
Angela 'Bay' Buchanan

Executive Director:
Marcus Epstein

Contact Us At:
501 Church St.
Suite 315
Vienna, VA 22180
phone: (703) 255-2632
fax: (703) 255-2219
www.TheAmericanCause.org

REMINDER:

This and the next two issues of The American are our gift to our supporters, but you must renew your subscription with a 35 dollar donation by August to continue receiving The American.

Late Night Funnies:

"General Motors announced they're closing over a thousand dealerships. A lot of people are blaming GM's new CEO, some guy named Barack Obama."

--Jay Leno

"You know Vice President Joe Biden? Now they're saying that he apparently had a couple of drinks and he was shooting his mouth off. And he announced the location of Vice President Dick Cheney -- the old Vice President -- his hiding place... And I was thinking if you're going to reveal secrets about something, why don't you reveal a secret about where bin Laden is hiding."

--David Letterman

"The economy is so bad, Bill Maher is going to church just for the free bread and wine."

--Jay Leno

Pro-Life Nation

According to a recent Gallup poll, a majority of American's consider themselves to be pro-life. The most encouraging aspect is that the rise in people considering themselves to be pro-life seems to be coming from young people. "One cannot attend the pro-life rallies that I attend across the country and fail to notice the youth, the energy and the commitment of the men and women, boys and girls in attendance," Richard Land, president of the Ethics & Religious Liberty Commission of the Southern Baptist Convention said.

"Family" Reunification

One of the most backward aspects of our immigration policy is that we give preference to "family reunification" allowing immigrants to bring their cousins, and their cousins' cousins etc. as opposed to what the immigrant will contribute to America. If the system isn't bad enough, now Sen. Patrick Leahy is sponsoring a bill to allow homosexuals to sponsor their partners!

Of course family Reunification can go both ways. Recently, the San Angelo, TX mayor J.W. Lown resigned after he fell in love with a homosexual

illegal alien. Instead of waiting for Leahy's act to pass, he moved to Mexico with his boyfriend. We encourage other illegal alien couples to do the same!

Dont Worry Be Happy

Barack Obama's Supreme Court Pick Sonia Sotomayor says she doesn't like white males (See back page.) Well then she must really hate Old White Church-going Republican Males. If you are a member of this persecuted minority, keep your spirits up! According to a report on happiness by the Pew Research Center senior men are happier than senior women. Whites are happier than blacks. Republicans are happier than Democrats. And Church-goers are happier than the irreligious! Maybe this is why liberals are so mad!

Putting Australia First

After Australia's unemployment rate shot up from 4.8 to 5.2% in April, the country decided to cut their immigration by 15% to protect Australian jobs. Interestingly, Australia has virtually no illegal immigration. America's unemployment rate is at 8.9%, and we're still waiting on the government to protect our workers.



legislative agenda and a veto proof Congress puts it within his reach.

But an unexpected obstacle has presented itself—fiscally responsible Democrats. They have begun to ask the President how he plans on paying for it.

The inconvenient truth—trillions in taxes on the middle class—isn't settling well with those members of Congress who have any concern about re-election.

The goal of universal health care has long eluded the Left. America is the last industrialized country without it and that drives the Left crazy. The fact that our health care is the best in the world doesn't seem to matter. They simply don't care.

Both Harry Truman and Bill Clinton made a play for national health care. Both failed miserably and their efforts contributed to a disaster for Democrats in the next election—a loss of 55 and 54 congressional seats respectively and a Republican majority in the both Houses of Congress.

History needs to repeat itself—and it is our job to make certain it does.

In the *Art of War* Sun Tzu said the most important aspect of battle is to “Know your enemy.” While Obama has not divulged the specifics of this plan we do know he is lying about its goal, the costs, and the quality of care it will provide. Equally important we know it will lead to rationing of health care for the elderly, the infirm and the disabled.

Lie #1: The President claims “You can't fix the economy without fixing health care.” But after an in-depth analysis, Shikha Dalmia of the Reason Foundation, concludes that “[w]hatever universal coverage might bring, there is no evidence that it will bring economic nirvana. If anything, contrary to what the

President suggests, the correlation runs the other way for countries with universal coverage such as Canada, England, France, Germany, and Japan. On nearly every economic front,



**A banker, a car salesmen,
and now....
a Doctor!**

their performance has been worse than America's—even, surprisingly, in controlling health care costs.”

Lie #2: The President budgeted \$634 billion for his health care plan. Experts put the number at anywhere from \$1.2 trillion to \$6 trillion over the first ten years.

Lie #3: The President claims his plan guarantees choice; that is it will “provide Americans a choice of health plans and physicians. People will be allowed to keep their own doctor and their employer-based health plan.” The only guarantee is it will run private insurers out of business. First, the government is likely to follow the recommendation of Tom

Daschle and set the rules for “deductible” health care plans. This would force most private insurers to comply. Then the feds could undercut the cost of the private insurance. Only a matter of time before this boondoggle turns into universal health care.

Lie #4: The President promised and continues to state he will not raise taxes on the middle class. Yet to pay for this massive entitlement Obama proposes using the funds he plans on raising from his Cap and Trade energy tax—which according to an MIT study will add an average of \$3100 to every family's energy bill. There is also talk of taxing cigarettes, chips and soda pop; taxing employer provided health care benefits; or imposing a national sales tax. What does he call these?

Rationing: Obama plans to create a “Federal Health Board” modeled after the UK's National Institute for Health and Clinical Excellence. NICE is a central health bureaucracy that overrules the recommendations of doctor's for their patients, rations medicine and treatment and regularly rejects life saving treatments. (With one swoop of the pen Obama can eliminate the unhealthy and solve the Social Security and Medicare problems.) Friends, Obamacare will destroy the quality of health care in America and it will give the government control over who gets care and who doesn't. It will take away one more freedom Americans enjoy.

Make no mistake Obama believes a central government is needed to make key decisions about our lives. For the love of liberty he must be stopped. It is time for conservatives to boldly oppose Obama and all he stands for.

-Bay Buchanan

Obama Picks a Bigot for the High Court

By Bay Buchanan

President Obama has chosen Judge Sonia Sotomayor to replace Justice David Souter. Good riddance to Souter and thanks for nineteen years of nothing. As for Sonia, she's the Left's dream nominee: a proud judicial activist out to amend perceived societal wrongs and extract justice as she feels fit—let The Constitution be damned. “The Court of Appeals,” she said, “is where policy is made” and that is exactly what she's done for the last decade.

The President's press secretary, Robert Gibbs, warned conservatives “to be exceedingly careful” about how they criticize the nominee. I wonder if this threat was meant to keep us to the high standard of political discourse exercised by the Left when they opposed Judges Robert Bork and Clarence Thomas? Someone needs to tell little man Gibbs the rules of engagement have been set and there's no going back.

Now begins a thorough review of Sonia's public statements and legal opinions. And a juicy one floated right to the top. In a 2002 “Cultural Diversity Speech” to Berkeley Law School, Sonia said: “I would hope that a wise Latina woman with the richness of her experience would more often than not reach a better conclusion than a white male who hasn't lived that life.” Nothing like a little anti-white male racism to stir the hearts of liberals. This little gem can also be found under the title “A Latina Judge's

Voice” in the Berkeley La Raza Law Journal. Imagine if Justice Roberts had delivered a speech entitled “A Male Anglo-Saxon Judge's Voice” in which he said: “I would hope that a wise white man with the richness of his experience would more often than not reach a better conclusion than a Latino (or Black) woman who hasn't lived that life.” The Left would have screamed “Racist!” from the roof tops until Roberts' name was pulled from consideration.

And what is all this nonsense about “the richness of her experience.” This lady was born in the Big Apple, went to Blessed Sacrament grade school (the Buchanans went to the DC version), Cardinal Spell-

man high school, Princeton University and Yale Law School. That's a Catholic education, followed by the Ivies. She's a member of the elite, for goodness sake.

So let's cut the “compelling story” crap.

As for her judicial record the judge put her words into action. In the case of Frank Ricci, for instance, the fireman from New Haven, Conn. who was robbed of his hard-earned promotion because he was white, Sotomayor didn't give him the time of day. Her one paragraph opinion was even derided by Clinton appointee Jose Cabranes: “The opinion contains no reference whatsoever to the constitutional claims at the core of this case. This perfunctory disposition rests

uneasily with the weighty issues presented by this appeal.” The Supreme Court is expected to overturn her.

What's more the lady judge is a card carrying member of La Raza (The Race) and served on the board of Latino Justice/Puerto Rican Legal Defense Fund, two far left extremists groups which plays nicely into the racist angle. They oppose enforcement of our immigration laws and support amnesty. Obama wanted empathy and

he got it—too bad it's at the expense of Americans.

And on the important issue of the Second Amendment, Sotomayor sides with the gun grabbers. She added her name to an opinion that ruled “the right to possess a gun is clearly not a fundamental right.”

But killing an unborn baby is, and gay marriage is.

Sonia Sotomayor is a gift to conservatives. But we must find the guts to exploit it. Sonia Baby needs to become the poster child of the Left. With her as point, we can strip away the scab hiding the real identity of those in power: anti-white male racists who support a radical agenda of massive illegal immigration, reverse discrimination and gun control. It is time we showed Americans we are ready to fight for them against the likes of Sonia Sotomayor and her pals.



The President's press secretary, Robert Gibbs, warned conservatives “to be exceedingly careful” about how they criticize the nominee. I wonder if this threat was meant to keep us to the high standard of political discourse exercised by the Left when they opposed Judges Robert Bork and Clarence Thomas?